

E. C. D.

E. E. H. F.

me, this isn't so hot. I don't remember having seen an answer to a single message transmitted in this manner, and I think Batts should write and ask them pointblank just what might be expected. Probably the worst thing we could do now is to have a single message undelivered without prompt interference.

I suppose you have the requested check by now; and regarding the article for "Electrical Engineering" your guess is as good as mine as to just how many of these reprints would be required. I want to go over the subject matter with the idea of advertising it in the Bulletin, but of course, at the present time, I don't know how to advertise. I understand you are forwarding a copy of the procedure, so will reserve comment until I receive the copy.

No answer from O'Neil regarding the tests.

With reference to your letter to Morris under date of April 19, I suppose I will receive a copy of his letter in the next few days.

Now that the Wppb situation is over, we shouldn't lose sight of the necessity of a zone indicating signal. I okayed the Wppb for 300 mile range, but most certainly something ~~will~~ have to be done to take care of certain routes across the country. No need to rush it, though, as it appears that the committees involved have a rather tough time getting along at best.

I guess that will be about all for now, as I just received a lengthy letter from Stanley asking for my comment on some gadget he is trying to develop but which sounds rather screwy to me at present. I'm not forwarding copies of this letter to the rest of the fellows and I hope that you will treat some of the above confidentially. I'm not desirous of starting any wars, and from past experience with some of the brothers in the APCO, they appear to be very sensitive. Best regards and let's hear from you.

Yours very truly,

EEHF: erd

EVERETT E. H. FISHER,  
Radio Supervisor KGPC  
Secretary-Treas. APCO